UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/788,813 | 02/27/2004 | David W. Proctor | MSFT-2872/306077.02 | 7349 |
| | 7590 03/11/200 WASHBURN LLP (M | 8 ICROSOFT CORPORATION) | EXAM | IINER |
| CIRA CENTRE | E, 12TH FLOOR | | KUMAR, ANIL N | |
| 2929 ARCH ST PHILADELPH | KEET IA, PA 19104-2891 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2174 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Intonvious Summons | 10/788,813 | PROCTOR ET AL. | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ANIL N. KUMAR | 2174 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>ANIL N. KUMAR (Examnier)</u> . | (3) <u>John McGlynn Attorney</u> | <u>)</u> . | |
| (2) <u>Sy Luu (Primary Examnier)</u> . | (4) <u>Jessica Costa (Attorne</u> y | <u>/</u>). | |
| Date of Interview: <u>19 February 2008</u> . | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | 2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark> | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: Combs et al (US 2002/0021289 A1), F | <u> Perttunen. (US 6,359,635 B1).,</u> | Weterman et al. | · |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g | ı)⊠ was not reached. h)∏ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: We discussed mostly the proposed amendment and agreed that it would help overcomments (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment and agreed that it would help overcomments are copy of the amendment and across the comments are copy of the amendment and across the comments are copy of the amendment and across the comments are copy of the amendment and across the comments are considered. | e independent claim as it applie ome the cited prior arts. | es to prior arts ar | nd the |
| allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | | ould render the | claims |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | /SY D. LUU/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 21 | 174 | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | Examiner's signature, if requi | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)